

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,280	03/01/2004	John A. Adamovics	4727-103 US	9530
7590 03/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Diane Dunn McKay, Esq.			TANINGCO, MARCUS H	
Mathews, Colli	ns, Shepherd & McKay	, P.A.		
100 Thanet Circle, Suite 306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08540			2878	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/790,280	ADAMOVICS, JOHN A.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Marcus H. Taningco	2878		
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app oly	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period 1 - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec earned paten	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period welly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, leived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirly (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ( cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)∐ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .			
′=	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
• —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
close	d in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of	Claims				
4a) O 5)	n(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdraven(s) is/are allowed. In(s) is/are rejected. In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) 1-72 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.				
Application Pa	apers				
10) The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examine lrawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the concent drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Ackno a) All 1. 2. 3.	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage		
<ul><li>2)  Notice of Dr.</li><li>3)  Information</li></ul>	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restrictions**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-29 and 38-72, drawn to a dosimeter, classified in class 250, subclass 474.1.

II. Claims 30-37, drawn to a process of fabricating a dosimeter, classified in class438, subclass 48.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, fabricating dosimeters does not require a molding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,280 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus H. Taningco whose telephone number is (571) 272-1848. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MT

DAVID PORTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800